

आयुक्त (अपील) का कार्यालय, Office of the Commissioner (Appeal),



केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद

Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१५.

CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 07926305065- देलेफेक्स07926305136

DIN- 20230964SW0000555A42 रजिरटर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

- क फाइल संख्या : File No : GAPPL/ADC/GSTP/2035/2023 -APPEAL /SS99 56 ० ।
- ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-CGST-003-APP-JC-26/2023-24 दिनॉक Date : 11-09-2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue : 12-09-2023

श्री आदेश कुमार जैन संयुक्त आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri.Adesh Kumar Jain, Joint Commissioner (Appeals)

- Arising out of Order-in-Original No. ZX2408210264709 DT. 19.08.2021 issued by The Assistant Commissioner, CGST & C.Ex., Divison- Mehsana, Gandhinagar Commissionerate
- ध अपीलकर्ता का नाग एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent M/s. Hardik Kumar Dahyabhai Chaudhari, (Trade Name – Kudrat Infrstructure) NO. 49, Tirupati Bunglow -2, Thalota Road, Visnagar, Mehsana, Gujarat - 384315

	इस आदेश(अपील) से व्यथित कोई व्यक्ति निम्नलिखित तरीके में उपयुक्त प्राधिकारी / प्राधिकरण के समक्ष अपील दायर कर सकता है। Any person aggrieved by this Order-in Appeal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following way.
(i)	National Bench or Regional Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the cases where one of the issues involved relates to place of supply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.
(ii)	State Bench or Area Bench of Appellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act other than as mentioned in para- (A)(i) above in terms of Section 109(7) of CGST Act, 2017
(iii)	Appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017 and shall be accompanied with a fee of Rs. One Thousand for every Rs. One Lakh of Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in the order appealed against, subject to a maximum of Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand.
(B)	Appeal under Section 112(1) of CGST Act, 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along with relevant documents either electronically or as may be notified by the Registrar, Appellate Tribunal in FORM GST APL-05, on common portal as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied by a copy of the order appealed against within seven days of filing FORM GST APL-05 online.
(i)	Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribunal under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017 after paying - (i) Full amount of Tax. Interest, Fine, Fee and Penalty arising from the impugned order, as is admitted/accepted by the appellant, and (ii) A sum equal to twenty five per cent of the remaining amount of Tax in dispute, in addition to the amount paid under Section 107(6) of CGST Act, 2017, arising from the said order, in
(11)	relation to which the appeal has been filed. The Central Goods & Service Tax (Ninth Removal of Difficulties) Order, 2019 dated 03.12.2019 has provided that the appeal to tribunal can be made within three months from the date of communication of Order or date on which the President or the State President, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribunal enters office, whichever is later.
(C)	उच्च अपीलीय प्राधिकारी को अपील दाखिल करने से संबंधित व्यापक, विस्तृत और नवीनतम प्रावधानों के लिए, अपीलार्थी विभागीय वेबसाइटwww.cbic.gov.in को देख सकते हैं।
	For elaborate, detailed and latest provisions relating to filing of appeal to the appellate authority, the appellant may refer to the website www.cbic.gov.in .
att de Hallon	



ORDER IN APPEAL

Brief Facts of the Case:-

M/s. Kudrat Infrastructure, (Legal Name: Hardikkumar Dahyabhai Chaudhari), Tirupati Bunglows-2, 49, Thalota Road, Visnagar, Mehsana-384 315 (hereinafter referred as 'Appellant') has filed the following appeal against the Refund Sanction/Rejection order in the form RFD-06 Order (hereinafter referred as 'impugned order') passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division – Mehsana, Gandhinagar Commissionerate (hereinafter referred as 'adjudicating authority').

2. Facts of the case, in brief, are that the *appellant* is registered under the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 vide GST Registration GSTIN 24AXEPC4499A1ZT. The appellant had applied for refund under section 54 of CGST Act, 2017, for excess balance in cash ledger of Rs.2,05,798/- and uploaded relevant documents along with refund application. But due to non attachment of CA Certificate application has rejected by the department. The appellant further stated that this was happed due to technical problem arise on portal while uploading the document. Hence they upload CA certificate along with appeal form APL-01.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the appellant on 05.08.2021, wherein it was proposed that refund application is liable to be rejected on account of following reasons:

As you have supporting documents were not uploaded. Hence refund is ineligible and why should not be rejected."

- 3. Further, the adjudicating authority has rejected their refund application by passing impugned orders No. ZX2408210264709, dated 19.08.2021 with a remark that "as the refund claim is not proper, I hereby reject the refund claim." Being aggrieved with the impugned order, the appellant has preferred the present appeal. In the appeal memo the appellant has submitted that
 - They have applied for refund for excess balance in cash ledger of Rs.2,05,798/- and uploaded relevant documents along with refund application. But due to non attachment of CA Certificate application has rejected by officer. This was happed due to technical problem

- arise on portal while uploading the document. Hence we upload CA certificate along with appeal form APL-0.
- They appeal on the ground that they are eligible to get refund. They have attached all relevant documents in support to the APL-O1.
- Hence they request to grand refund and obliged.

Personal Hearing :-

4. Personal Hearing in the matter was held on 15.06.2023, 11.08.2023 and 17.08.2023 wherein, Mr. Bharat Patel appeared as authorized representative. During Personal Hearing he has stated that this is a case of refund of balance in cash ledger and the Ld. Refund Sanctioning Authority has rejected the claim without assigning any reason or without giving any opportunity of personal hearing; without following principle of natural justice, the Order in Original may be set aside and allow appeal.

Discussion and Findings:-

- I have gone through the facts of the case, written submissions made by the 'Appellant'. I find that the 'Appellant' has filed refund application under section 54 of CGST Act, 2017 of accumulated balance in cash ledger amounting to Rs. 2,05,798/-. The said refund claim rejected by the impugned order and accordingly the 'Appellant' filled the appeal on 27.08.2021 and submitted the copy of order appealed against on 15.06.2023.
 - 6. In the instant case I find that the main issues to be decided in the instant case is (i) whether the appeal has been filed within the prescribed time- limit and (ii) whether the appeal filed by the appellant against the impugned order for claiming refund of balance in cash ledger amounting to Rs. 2,05,798/- is legal or not.
 - 7. First of all, I would like to take up the issue of filing the appeal and before deciding the issue of filing the appeal on merits, it is imperative that the statutory provisions be gone through, which are reproduced, below:

SECTION 107. Appeals to Appellate Authority. — (1) Any person aggrieved by any decision or order passed under this Act or the State Goods

and Services Tax Act or the Union Territory Goods and Services Tax Act by an adjudicating authority may appeal to such Appellate Authority as may be prescribed within three months from the date on which the said decision or order is communicated to such person.

(2) (3)

वस्तु एवं सेवाका

(4) The Appellate Authority may, if he is satisfied that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal within the aforesaid period of three months or six months, as the case may be, allow it to be presented within a further period of one month.

8(i). I observed from the submission of appellant that in the instant case the appeal has been filed online on 27.08.2021 and **submitted the copy of order appealed against on 15.06.2023**. Accordingly, it is pertinent to refer Rule 108 of the CGST Rules, 2017. The same is reproduced as under:

Rule 108. Appeal to the Appellate Authority.-

(1) An appeal to the Appellate Authority under sub-section (1) of section 107 shall be filed in FORM GST APL-01, along with the relevant documents, either electronically or otherwise as may be notified by the Commissioner, and a provisional acknowledgement shall be issued to the appellant immediately.

(2) The grounds of appeal and the form of verification as contained in FORM GST

APL-01 shall be signed in the manner specified in rule 26.

(3) A certified copy of the decision or order appealed against shall be submitted within seven days of filing of appeal under sub-rule (1) and a final acknowledgement, indicating appeal number shall be issued thereafter in **FORM GST APL-02** by the Appellate Authority or an officer authorised by him in this hehalf:

Provided that where the certified copy of the decision or order is submitted within seven days from the date of filing the **FORM GST APL-01**, the date of filing of the appeal shall be the date of the issue of the provisional acknowledgement and where the said copy is submitted after seven days, the date of filing of the appeal shall be the date of the submission of such copy.

Explanation. -For the provisions of this rule, the appeal shall be treated as filed only when the final acknowledgement, indicating the appeal number, is issued.

Accordingly, in view of above provisions, I observed that in the instant case the appeal has been filed on 27.08.2021 and submitted the copy of order appealed against on 15.06.2023 i.e. appeal filed by delay from the normal period prescribed under Section 107(1) of the CGST Act, 2017. I find that though the delay in filing the appeal is condonable only for a further period of one month provided that the appellant was prevented by sufficient cause from presenting the appeal is shown and the delay of more than one month is not condonable under the provisions of sub section (4) of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017.

Supreme Court has passed order on 10.01.2022 in matter of Miscellaneous Application No. 21 of 2022 in M.A. 665 of 2021, in SMW(C) No. 3 of 2020. Hon'ble Supreme Court vide Order dated 10.01.2022 ordered that for computing period of limitation for any suit, appeal, application or proceedings the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 shall stand excluded and consequently balance period of limitation remaining as on 03.10.2021 if any, shall become available with effect from 01.03.2022 and that in cases where the limitation would have expired during the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.

In the present matter, the "impugned order" is of 19.08.2021

so, the normal appeal period of three months was available up to 19.11.2021 whereas, the present appeal is filed on 27.08.2021 but submitted the copy of order appealed against on 27.08.2021 and submitted the copy of order appealed against on 15.06.2023. However, in view of above order of Hon'ble Supreme Court by excluding the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 and considering 90 days from 01.03.2022, the last date for filing of appeal comes to 06.2022. In the present matter the appeal is filed on 15.06.2023. Act, 2017. Further, looking I find that the present appeal is filed appeal to the COD application of Appellant, I observed that even after condoning delay of filing of appeal for a further period of one month as per provisions of sub section (4) of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017 the last date for filing of appeal comes on 01.07.2022, whereas the present appeal is filed on

8(iii).

15.06.2023.

9. In view of foregoing, I find that the present appeal is filed beyond the time limit prescribed under the provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017. Accordingly, I find that the further proceedings in case of present appeal can be taken up for consideration strictly as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act, 2017.

- 10. I find that this appellate authority is a creature of the statute and has to act as per the provisions contained in the CGST Act. This appellate authority, therefore, cannot condone delay beyond the period permissible under the CGST Act. When the legislature has intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning further delay of only one month, this appellate authority cannot go beyond the power vested by the legislature. My views are supported by the following case laws:
- (i) The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Singh Enterprises** reported as 2008 (221) E.L.T.163 (S.C.) has held as under:
 - "8. ...The proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 35 makes the position crystal clear that the appellate authority has no power to allow the appeal to be presented beyond the period of 30 days. The language used makes the position clear that the legislature intended the appellate authority to entertain the appeal by condoning delay only upto 30 days after the expiry of 60 days which is the normal period for preferring appeal. Therefore, there is complete exclusion of Section 5 of the Limitation Act. The Commissioner and the High Court were therefore justified in holding that there was no power to condone the delay after the expiry of 30 days period."
- (ii) In the case of Makjai Laboratories Pvt Ltd reported as 2011 (274) E.L.T. 48 (Bom.), the Hon'ble Bombay High Court held that the Commissioner (Appeals) cannot condone delay beyond further period of 30 days from initial period of 60 days and that provisions of Limitation Act, 1963 is not applicable in such cases as Commissioner (Appeals) is not a Court.
 - (iii) The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the case of Delta Impex reported as 2004 (173) E.L.T. 449 (Del) held that the Appellate authority has no jurisdiction to extend limitation even in a "suitable" case for a further period of more than thirty days.
 - 11. I find that the provisions of Section 107 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 are *pari materia* with the provisions of Section 85 of the Finance Act, 1994 and Section 35 of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and hence, the above judgments would be squarely applicable to the present appeal also.

By respectfully following the above judgments, I hold that this appellate authority cannot condone delay beyond further period of one month as prescribed under proviso to Section 107(4) of the Act. Thus, the appeal filed by the *appellant* is required to be dismissed on the grounds of limitation as not filed within the prescribed time limit in terms of the provisions of Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017. I, accordingly, dismiss the present appeal.

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।
The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

(Adesh Kumar Jain)
Joint Commissioner (Appeals)

Date: // .09.2023

स्तु एवं सेवाकः

Attested

(Sandheer Kumar) Superintendent (Appeals)

By R.P.A.D.

To,

M/s. Kudrat Infrastructure,

(Legal Name: Hardikkumar Dahyabhai Chaudhari), Tirupati Bunglows-2, 49, Thalota Road, Visnagar, Mehsana-384 315.

Copy to:

1. The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone.

2. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad.

3. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Gandninagar Commissionerate.

4. The Deputy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division- Mehsana, Gandhinagar.

5. The Superintendent (Systems), CGST Appeals, Ahmedabad. G. Guard File.

7. P.A. File

